No. 3. — Random Notes on North American Carabidae (Coleopt.) By CARL H. LINDROTH

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	117
Changes in synonymy	120
Discussion of certain species groups	143
Species new to America	157
Bibliography	159

INTRODUCTION

Thanks to a generous grant from the Rockefeller Foundation, I was able in the spring of 1951 to spend four months on museum studies in the United States and Canada. The main task was to carry out the basic taxonomic work for a carabid fauna of Newfoundland. I started with the determination of my own material, collected in 1949 with a grant from the Arctic Institute of North America, and then proceeded with the study and revision of the Newfoundland material in the larger public and private collections, as far as available. Labrador and Nova Scotia records were listed at the same time. Eventually the study was enlarged to cover all carabid species common to Europe and North America with the purpose of making a revised list for publication. Occasionally other special taxonomic problems were attacked. Thus preliminary work was done for revisions of the North American species of Diachila, Blethisa, Notiophilus, Pristodactula, and Europhilus. The results obtained will appear in a series of papers, in part regional (covering Newfoundland, Nova Scotia, and Labrador), in part purely taxonomic (on the genera mentioned above and on the Kirby types). It seems convenient, however, to present here a summary of all changes proposed in the nomenclature of North American Carabidae, especially as some of them are not concerned with the special investigations mentioned. In the latter cases full reasons for my opinions are given here, but in the others the reader is referred to the special papers mentioned above. As a rule, only new synonymies are listed, but in a few cases the confirmation of already accepted synonymies by reexamination of typical specimens is considered worth giving.

In three cases (Bembidion concolor, Pterostichus mandibularis, Agonum affine) the revised synonymy unfortunately requires that a BULLETIN: MUSEUM OF COMPARATIVE ZOOLOGY

name in use is transferred from one species to another within the same genus. In order to avoid hopeless confusion in these cases I have proposed to keep the name to be moved "in quarantine" for the time being, i.e. to regard it as a *nomen in praesens suppressum* until the name substituted for it in its old sense has become generally established.

Furthermore, I should like to point out that declarations of synonymy in this paper do not necessarily forbid the existence of *subspecific* differences. In some cases sufficient material was not available to decide about subspecies, and in such cases a statement of the *specific* identity is always the first and most important step.

The main part of my work was done at the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, where the foundation of North American coleopterology, the Leconte Collection, is preserved, supplemented in an excellent way by the admirable and modern Fall Collection. The Curator of Coleoptera at this museum, Dr. P. J. Darlington, Jr., gave me unlimited support not only from the museum's collections but also from his own vast experience of North American Carabidae.

At the National Museum, Washington, District of Columbia, where the Casey Collection was the most interesting subject, I was generously aided by Dr. E. A. Chapin and Dr. R. E. Blackwelder. In New York, Dr. M. A. Cazier placed the material of the American Museum at my free disposal. I am especially indebted to Dr. W. J. Brown, of the Department of Agriculture, Ottawa. Dr. Brown's great experience of the fauna of northern regions was of indispensable value to me. The very rich collection of Canadian-Arctic beetles in his charge at Ottawa revealed several Palæarctic species hitherto unknown in America.

Very useful was the examination of W. Kirby's types at the British Museum, and the C. G. Mannerheim types in the Museum at Helsingfors, Finland. I am most grateful to the officials of these two institutions for kind advice and assistance.

Most of the synonymies proposed below concern names given by Casey. It may therefore be of some interest to say a few words on his work and his collection, preserved at the United States National Museum in an excellent condition, thanks to the efforts of L. L. Buchanan (*ride* his paper of 1935, and Blackwelder 1950). This collection possesses a remarkable and unique quality: I was unable, in any case, to find two species confused under the same name label! This is characteristic of the positive side of the remarkable person Thomas L. Casey. He was an engineer by profession, but also as an

LINDROTH: NORTH AMERICAN CARABIDAE (COLEOPT.) 119

entomologist. To him the members of a species had to show absolute *identity*, like the cogs of a machine. He made no allowance for intraspecific variation. Almost any deviation was described as a new species or, in some few cases, subspecies. Probably it never occurred to him that his rigid demand upon the species concept was contradictory to evolution, simply because he did not realize that his specimens had been living organisms. Perhaps he did not believe in evolution at all. Apparently he never allowed himself to work by instinct, to get a general idea of the "habitus" of a species. It appears from what I was told, that to identify a specimen he always put it directly under the microscope at high magnification. It is no wonder that many of Casey's "species" are simple aberrations or even anomalies. especially among those described in the last volume of his Memoirs (Vol. 10, 1924). His method of giving all revisions and most of his descriptions in the form of dichotomous tables was extremely unfortunate. Closely related species often became widely separated in this way, and the reader is usually completely denied the opportunity of making a comparative analysis of them. Only in exceptional cases can the student get an indisputable determination by using Casey's keys, and therefore, an examination of the typical specimens in his collection is usually the only reliable way to get definite determinations. The types must be examined in all groups treated by Casey before North American coleopterology can get a safe basis on which to build in the future. This will result in a complete rearrangement of Leng's Catalogue. Judging from my experience in Carabidae, I should guess that not more and perhaps less than 20 per cent of Casey's species will prove valid or worthy of being preserved even as subspecies.

In this connection I can not help regretting the rigid regulations of most American museums, forbidding any type specimen to be taken outside the building. At present it is impossible to have a Leconte and Casey type side by side for comparison. I dare say that a more liberal attitude would shorten by decades the way to stability in the coleopterological taxonomy of North America.

The male genitalia of Carabidae in most genera possess excellent specific characters. When male types were available, I therefore usually made a genital slide. This is indicated by an asterisk (*) after the species name.

It may be useful to describe briefly the simple method used for genital dissections. After the insect has been softened it is put under the microscope in a drop of water, the elytra are moved apart, and the penis is dissected out through the dorsum. It is cleaned in water, transferred for a minute to absolute alcohol, and finally put into clove oil, which makes the whole organ transparent and thus reveals the armature of the internal sac. Boiling in KOH immediately after the dissection removes muscles and ligaments and gives clearer details. The habit, prevalent in America, of killing and preserving beetles in alcohol is not good for specimens used for dissection: they get too fragile and, above all, the rapid infiltration of the alcohol into the living insect often causes a total or partial eversion of the internal sac, putting it in a position quite unsuitable for comparative study. Beetles killed in vapour of ethyl acetate give the best dissections.

The following abbreviations of museum names are used here.

- AMN = American Museum of Natural History, New York.
- BMN = British Museum, Natural History, London.
- CMP = Carnegie Museum, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- DAO = Department of Agriculture, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.
- MCZ = Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- NMW = United States National Museum, Washington, D. C.
- UMH = Zoological Museum, University, Helsingfors, Finland.

CHANGES IN SYNONYMY

The species are arranged according to Leng's Catalogue (1920), with species added in Supplements I–V (1927–1948) put at the end of each genus (with the number of the supplement in brackets). The Leng number of each species is given, and names listed by him as synonyms are marked "(syn.)". Species not listed in the catalogue or supplements are inserted without numbers in the proper places below.

115 Trachypachys holmbergi Mnh. 1853 (inermis Mtsch. 1864 [not 1845]), is not identical with *zetterstedti* Gyll. 1827, as maintained by Hatch (1933b, p. 117). The penis is quite different.

227 Elaphrus obliteratus Mnh. 1853. Types $\mathcal{J}^* \mathcal{Q}$ (Kadjak, Alaska, UMH) = lapponicus Gyll. 1810 (which is not a synonym of 230 riparius).

227(syn.) E. obseurior Kby. 1837. Type \heartsuit (BMN) = lapponicus Gyll. 1810 (227 obliteratus Mnh. 1853).

233 E. ruscarius Say 1834. I am unable to find any other difference from 230 riparius L. 1761 than the coarser and sparser punctuation of the prosternum. Outer and inner structure of penis seems identical. The two forms are at least not specifically distinct.

235 Diachila subpolaris Lec. 1863. Type σ^* (Hudson Bay Territory, CMP) = arctica Gyll. 1808 sbsp. amocna Fald. 1835, described from southern Siberia. The species usually placed under the name "subpolaris" in American collections is polita Fald.

238 Blethisa multipunctata L. 1761 occurs in America only as sbsp. aurata Fisch. 1828 (hudsonica Csy. 1924).

239 B. columbica Csy. 1909. Type σ^* (British Columbia) = 240 oregonensis Lec. 1853, as already suggested by Hatch (1949, p. 114).

18582(I) B. hudsonica Csy. 1924. Type σ^* (Edmonton, Alberta) = multipunctata L. 1761 sbsp. aurata Fisch. 1828.

241(syn.) Loricera neoscotica Lec. 1863. Type \heartsuit , paratype \heartsuit^* (Nova Scotia) = 241 pilicornis Fbr. 1775 (coerulescens auct. nec L.), contrary to Csy. 1920, p. 146.

248(syn.) Notiophilus hardyi Putz. 1866. Original example from Newfoundland in coll. Lec. (MCZ) = aquaticus L. 1761, in accordance with Fall 1906, p. 84, but contrary to Csy. 1920, p. 140, 143.

250(syn.) N. cranescens Csy. 1913. Type and 4 paratypes (Boulder, Colorado) = 250 simulator Fall 1906, as stated by Csy. himself (1914, p. 356), but later disputed by him (1920, p. 141).

18584(I) N. sicrranus Csy. 1920. Single type \heartsuit (California) = 255 nitens Lec. 1857 (dwarf specimen).

18585(I) N. coloradensis Csy. 1920. Single type \mathcal{A} (Boulder, Colorado) = 247 semistriatus Say 1823.

18587(I) N. parvus Csy. 1920. Single type \heartsuit (New York) = 251 novemstriatus Lec. 1848.

N. lanci Hatch 1949. Paratypes $\sigma^* \circ$ (Pierce, Idaho) = 18586(I) directus Csy. 1920.

258 Leistus nigropiecus Csy. 1913. Paratype \mathcal{A}^* (Metlakatla, British Columbia) = 257 ferruginosus Mnh. 1843, as already suggested by Hatch (1949, p. 115).

276a Nebria castanipes Kby. 1837. Type $\Im = 276b$ moesta Lec. 1850. Kirby's name is valid. It is a subspecies of the Palaearctic gyllenhali Schh. (rufescens Stroem).

276b N. mocsta Lec. 1850. The 4 types (Lake Superior) have nothing to do with 276 sahlbergi Fisch. 1821. The closest relative of moesta is the Palaearctic gyllenhali Schh. 1806, of which it may be regarded as a subspecies (Bänninger 1925, pp. 259, 279). Valid name is castanipes Kby. 1837 (see above).

BULLETIN: MUSEUM OF COMPARATIVE ZOOLOGY

18590(I) N. labradorica Csy. 1920. Type and 5 paratypes (West St. Modest, Labrador) = 276a gyllenhali Schh. 1806 sbsp. castanipes Kby, 1837 (moesta Lec. 1850) (see above).

18591(I) N. prominens Csy. 1920. Type and paratype (Mt. Washington, New Hampshire) = 276a gyllenhali Schh. 1806 sbsp. castanipes Kby. 1837 (moesta Lec. 1850).

18592(I) N. eurtulata Csy. 1924. Type and 2 paratypes (West St. Modest, Labrador) = 276a gyllenhali Schh. 1806 sbsp. castanipes Kby. 1837 (moesta Lec. 1850).

18598(I) N. nimbosa Csy, 1920. Single type \Im (Mt. Washington, New Hampshire) = 285 suturalis Lec. 1850.

323 Dyschirius acneus Dej. 1825 is different from integer Lec. 1849 which has a very characteristic frontal sculpture. Apparently, as already suggested by Fall (1926, p. 130), the Palaearctic acneus does not occur in America. I tried in vain to get a specimen of frigidus Mnh. 1853 for comparison; the type is not in UMH.

18603(I) *D. aurcolus* Notm. 1920. Paratype σ^* (Schoharie, New York, Staten Island Museum) = *politus* Dej. 1825 from Europe and Siberia. *D. subpunctatus* Hatch, according to 2 paratypes*, is related but specifically distinct.

20696(II) D. secretus Fall 1926. Paratype ♂* (Anchorage, Alaska) = helléni Müll. 1922 (norvegicus Munst. 1923) from Siberia and Fennoscandia.

367(syn.) Clivina collaris Hbst. 1786 was regarded as a form of fossor L. 1761 by Jeannel (1941, p. 257; also by Hatch 1949, p. 118) but is specifically distinct as suggested by Brown (1950, p. 198). Jeannel originally failed to find males among collaris because there is no external sexual difference in this species. When, later, males were dissected (Jeannel 1949, p. 4) he regarded the two forms as specifically distinct but was unable to separate them on penis characters. A comparison of clove oil slides, however, reveals clear differences (fig. 1). The basal part of the penis is differently shaped, with stronger carinae in fossor. The simplest external character separating the two species is the stronger, almost granulate microsculpture of the last ventral segment in collaris. This species apparently is constantly macropterous whereas fossor is dimorphic, in America as well as in Europe. I have seen both forms from Montreal and from Newfoundland.

367(syn.) C. elongata Rand. 1838, according to the description of

colour and the locality (Massachusetts), belongs to *collaris* Hbst. 1786 and not to *fossor*.

411 Bembidion littorale auct. Amer., nec Ol. = 18612(I) B. (Chrysobracteon) carrianum Csy. 1924: type \mathfrak{P} (Edmonton, Alberta), 2 paratypes \mathfrak{I}^* (St. Albert, Alberta). See also 412 lacustre, below. The Palaearctic litorale Ol. does not occur in America.



Fig. 1. Penis, side view, and dorsal view of apex: a, Clivina fossor L. (Montreal); b, C. collaris Hbst. (Stoneham, Massachusetts.)

412 B. lacustre Lec. 1848. Type \Im (Lake Superior) = 408 B. (Chrysobracteon) inaequale Say 1823. Fall (1910, p. 94) was wrong in uniting lacustre and littorale auct. Amer.

474 B. turbatum Csy. 1918. Type and paratype, both \mathcal{P} (Colorado) = subspecies of the Siberian B. (Plataphus) gebleri Gebl. 1833 (frigidum J. Sahlb. 1880). And 475 B. conflictum Csy. 1918 is a synonym (see below).

475 B. conflictum Csy. 1918. Type $\sigma^{\uparrow*}$ (Colorado) = 474 B. (Plataphus) gebleri Gebl. 1833 sbsp. turbatum Csy. 1918. Penis is a little less arcuate than in gebleri f. typ. (fig. 11d; Lindroth 1943, p. 10), with inner armature almost identical. In DAQ is $1\sigma^{\uparrow}$ (Mabel Lake, British Columbia, H. Leech) which according to the penis apparently belongs to the same form. In NMW are $1\sigma^{\uparrow}$ each* from National Park, Wyoming, and Banff, Alberta.

479 *B. bucolicum* Csy. 1918. According to Netolitzky (1931, p. 161), who examined types of both, this is a synonym of *B. (? Trechonepha)* kuprianovi Mnh. 1843 (Leng, p. 53, without a number). Another synonym is ovipenne Mtsch. 1845 from Sitka, Alaska (Netolitzky 1935, p. 23).

483 B. solutum Csy. 1918. Single type \Im (California) = 482 B. (Plataphus) planatum Lec. 1848.

484 B. adjutor Csy. 1918. Type \mathfrak{Q} , paratypes $\mathfrak{T}^* \mathfrak{Q}$ (California, 3 localities) = 482 B. (Plataphus) planatum Lec. 1848.

486. B. simplex Hayw. 1897 is not the manuscript name of Lec., to which the author refers. Leconte's "type" (Lake Superior) = 476 B. (Plataphus) rusticum Csy. 1918. None of the 4 "simplex" in coll. Lec. belongs to Hayward's species, a Trechonepha. Penis of simplex Hayw., figure 9c.

487 B. planiusculum Mnh. 1843. Types $\sigma^{\uparrow*} \Leftrightarrow$ (Sitka, Alaska, UMH). This species, a true *Plataphus*, has been generally misidentified. Usually the name has been used for 476 *rusticum* Csy. (see Notman 1920, p. 185). Penis of *planiusculum*, figure 11a.

492 B. flebile Csy. 1918. Type \mathfrak{P} , several paratypes, $\mathfrak{F}^* \mathfrak{P}$ (California) = B. (Plataphus) complanulum Hayw. 1897 (nec Mnh. 1853). The name flebile is valid for the small, light western form of the species (cf. 18623 carolinense, below).

493 B. timefactum Csy. 1918 (not tumefactum). Type \Im , paratype \Im^* (California) = 492 B. (Plataphus) flebile Csy. 1918 (see above).

494 B. decrepitum Csy. 1918. Type σ^{*} (Colorado) = B. (Plataphus) flebile Csy. 1918 (see above).

498 B. complanulum Mnh. 1853. Type $\mathcal{O}^{?*}$ (UMH), paratype \mathcal{P} (coll. Lec., MCZ), both from Kadjak, Alaska. It is a true *Plataphodes* (see 18627 *parvulum*, below), whereas the complanulum of Hayward (1897, p. 65) and most other authors is a *Plataphus* (see 492 *flebile*, above). Only Fall, in his collection, recognized the true complanulum. Penis, figure 10a.

521 B. concolor Kby. 1837. Type $\mathcal{Q} = 529$ B. (Hirmoplataphus) longulum Lec. 1848 and Kirby's name is therefore valid for this species. To avoid hopeless confusion, I propose to regard concolor as a name "in pracescus suppressum", until salebratum Lec. 1848 has had time to become established as a substitute for concolor auct.

560 B. nitens Lec. 1850. Type $\sigma^{\uparrow*}$ (Lake Superior) = B. (Peryphus) grapei Gyll. 1827. Penis, figure 12b.

567 B. militare Csy. 1885. Single type \mathfrak{S}^* (Long Island) = 572 B. (Peryphus) lacunarium Zimm. 1869 (picipes auct. nec Kby.) (see below).

572 B. picipes Kby. 1837. Types $\mathfrak{S}^{n*} \mathfrak{Q} = 560$ B. (Peryphus) grapei Gyll. 1827 (nitens Lec. 1850). B. picipes auct. must be changed to lacunarium Zimm. (see below).

572(syn.) *B. plagiatum* Zimm. 1869. Original \mathcal{Q} (possibly the type, from Maryland) in coll. Lec. (MCZ) belongs to a species unknown to me and is not identical with *lacunarium* Zimm. (*picipes* auct. nec Kby.; see Hayward 1897; Fall 1926, p. 133).

572(syn.) B. lacunarium Zimm. 1869. Original φ (possibly the type, from New York) in coll. Lec. (MCZ) = picipes auct. nec Kby., and is therefore valid.

575(syn.) B. sordidum Kby. 1837. Type \mathfrak{P} is a Peryphus distinct from bimaeulatum Kby., well characterized in the original description and especially by the structure of the internal sac of the penis, as shown by males from Red River, Manitoba, compared with the type.

582 B. canadense Hayw. 1897. Type \heartsuit (Ottawa, Canada, MCZ) and a σ^* from Montreal, Canada (coll. Fall), both = B. (Peryphus) stephensi Crotch 1866, of western Europe.

583 B. lepusculum Csy. 1918. Single type σ^{*} (Colorado) = 584 B. (Peryphus) petrosum Gebl. 1833 (lucidum Lec. 1848).

584 B. lucidum Lec. 1848. Type \heartsuit , paratypes $\eth^* \heartsuit$ (all Lake Superior) = B. (Peryphus) petrosum Gebl. 1833 (substrictum Lec. 1848). Under the label "lucidum" in coll. Lec. is represented also rupicola Kby. (lucidum auct.) from California, Colorado, and New Mexico.

BULLETIN: MUSEUM OF COMPARATIVE ZOOLOGY

584(syn.) B. substrictum Lec. 1848. Type \heartsuit (Lake Superior) = B. (Peryphus) petrosum Gebl. 1833 (lucidum Lec. 1848).

585 B. castalium Csy. 1918. Type \heartsuit , paratypes $\heartsuit^* \heartsuit$ (Las Vegas, New Mexico) = 584 B. (Peryphus) petrosum Gebl. 1833 (lucidum Lec. 1848).

588a *B. rupicola* Kby, 1837. Types $\mathfrak{S}^* \mathfrak{Q} = B$. (*Peryphus*) *lucidum* auct. (nec Lec. 1848), as already stated by Fall (1926, p. 133), whose label is attached to the \mathfrak{Q} type. Fassati's opinion that *rupicola* Kby. is a synonym of *ustulatum* L. (*tetracolum* Say) is wrong.

588b B. tctracolum Say 1823 sbsp. nactum Csy. 1918. Single type \mathcal{Q} (New York) = a pure synonym of B. (Peryphus) ustulatum L. 1758 (tctracolum Say 1823). See also Fassati 1950 (p. 43).

590 *B. dilatatum* Lec. 1848. Type \mathcal{P} (Pennsylvania) = large form of 592 *B.* (*Bractcomimus n. subg.*) chalccum Dej. 1831. Several $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}$ from New England, Nova Scotia, and Newfoundland, completely agreeing with the type externally, have penis structure identical with normal chalccum.

599 B. fuscicrum Mtsch. 1855 (correctly fuscicrus) = B. (Peryphus) obscurellum Mtsch. 1845. It is a circumpolar species which has gone under several names (cf. Netolitzky 1935, p. 33; 1942–43, p. 116). The penis is identical in specimens from northeastern Europe, Siberia and North America, and there seems no reason to establish any subspecies on the rather inconstant colour characters.

620 B. mobile Csy. 1918. Single type \Im (Metlakatla, British Columbia) = 681 B. (Eupetedromus) incrematum Lec. 1860.

621 B. semotum Csy. 1918. Single type σ^{1*} (California) = 681 B. (Eupetedromus) incrematum Lec. 1860.

622 B. nubiferum Csy. 1918. Single type \mathcal{A}^* (California) = 681 B. (Eupetedromus) incrematum Lec. 1860.

623 B. gulosum Csy. 1918. Single type \heartsuit (Idaho) = 681 B. (Eupetedromus) incrematum Lee. 1860.

646 B. monstratum Csy. 1918. The 6 types (northern Illinois), all more or less immature = 648 B. (Notaphus) posticum Hald. 1843.

647 B. feniscx Csy. 1918. The 3 types (Indiana) = 648 B. (Notaphus) posticum Hald. 1843, but are a little larger than usual and with broader prothorax.

649 B. pleetile Csy. 1918. The 2 types (Indiana; Wisconsin) = 648 B. (Notaphus) posticum Hald. 1843, the form with extended yellow markings.

652 B. graphicum Csy. 1918. Type σ^{γ} (Bayfield, Wisconsin) = 612 B. (Notaphus) nigripes Kby. 1837.

654 B. cxclusum Csy. 1918. Single type (Illinois) = 648 B. (No-taphus) posticum Hald. 1843.

655 B. intermedium Kby. 1837. Type $\varphi = 651$ B. (Notaphus) patrucle Dej. 1831. Fall (1926, p. 133) was therefore right in regarding rapidum Lec. 1848 as the right name for intermedium auct.

657 *B. marcidum* Csy. 1918. Single type from New York, and additional specimen from Long Island, New York = 648 *B. (Notaphus)* posticum Hald. 1843, being unusually broad but otherwise typical.

679 *B. arcuatum* Lec. 1878. Type \mathcal{Q} , paratype \mathcal{A}^* (Marquette, Michigan) = 681 *B. (Eupetedromus) incrematum* Lec. 1860, type \mathcal{A}^* (Sitka, Alaska).

680 B. graciliforme Hayw. 1897. According to coll. Hayward (MCZ) it is a *Eupetedromus*, clearly different from 681 *incrematum* Lec. (arcuatum Lec.) (cf. Netolitzky 1931, p. 158). In coll. Casey it stands as "arcuatum Lec."

681 (syn.) B. nigripes Mnh. 1852 (nec Kby. 1837). Three σ^{*} "types"* (Sitka, Ałaska, UMH) = B. (Eupetedromus) incrematum Lec. 1860. Netolitzky (1942–43, p. 48) confused Mannerheim's species with tinetum Zett. (cf. Lindroth 1944).

681(syn.?) B. dentellum Thbg. 1785 does not occur in North America, since B. (Eupetedromus) incrematum Lec. is specifically distinct.

715 B. tolerans Csy. 1918. Type \mathfrak{Q} , $\mathfrak{G} \triangleleft^* \mathfrak{Q}$ paratypes (all Metlakatla, British Columbia) = 713 B. (Furcacampa) decipiens Dej. 1831, sensu Csy. 1918 (723 versicolor Lec. 1848).

723 B. versicolor Lec. 1848. Type \heartsuit (Lake Superior) and many additional specimens in coll. Lec. = 713 B. (Furcacampa) decipiens Dej. 1831, sensu Csy. 1918. But "versicolor Lec." in coll. Casey = B. (Furcacampa) mimus Hayw. 1897 (724 pellax Csy. 1918) (see below, unnumbered, at end of genus).

724 B. pellax Csy. 1918. Type \mathfrak{S}^* , paratype \mathfrak{P} (Rhode Island) = B. (Furcacampa) mimus Hayw. 1897 (p. 108) (see below, unnumbered, at end of genus).

731 B. fraternum Lec. 1857. Type \mathcal{Q} (Georgia), paratype \mathfrak{S}^* (Louisiana) = 648 B. (Notaphus) posticum Hald. 1843, according to an original \mathfrak{S}^* , probably the type ("Middle States"), in coll. Lec.

754 B. sulcatum Lec. 1848. Type \mathcal{Q} (Lake Superior) = B. (Diplocampa) transparens Gebl. 1829, circumpolar in distribution. 754(syn.) B. trepidum Lec. 1848. Type \Im (Lake Superior) = 754 B. (Diplocampa) transparens Gebl. 1829 (suleatum Lec. 1848).

767 B. connivens Lec. 1852. Type σ^* (California) = 772 B. (*Trepanedoris*) cautum Lec. 1848 (type \mathfrak{P} , Rocky Mountains; genital slide made from Leconte specimen from La Veta, Colorado). The penis apex is a trifle longer in connivens, possibly a subspecific difference.

18621(I) B. notmani Csy. 1924. Single type \mathcal{Q} (New York) = 476 B. (Plataphus) rusticum Csy. 1918.

18622(I) B. essexense Csy. 1924. Single type σ^* (New York) = 486 B. (Trechonepha) simplex Hayw. 1897.

18623(I) B. carolinense Csy. 1924. Type σ^* (North Carolina) = 492 B. (Plataphus) flebile Csy. 1918, but is the larger eastern form, probably worthy of being retained as a subspecies.

18624(I) B. keeneanum Csy. 1924. Single type \bigcirc (New York) = 18623(I) B. (Plataphus) flebile Csy. 1918 sbsp. carolinense Csy. 1924 (see above).

18627(I) B. parvulum Notm. 1922 = 498 B. (Plataphodes) complanulum Mnh. 1853. The type σ^* of Mannerheim's species (UMH) and 1 σ^* of parvulum from the original locality (Paradise Park, State of Washington, coll. Fall) agree completely in penis characters. This synonymy is indicated by Fall in his collection. Penis of parvulum, figure 10a.

18641(I) B. exiguiceps Csy. 1924. Type \heartsuit (British Columbia) = 584 B. (Peryphus) petrosum Gebl. 1833 (lucidum Lec. 1848). Whether the narrow head and prothorax indicate a subspecies, I am unable to decide.

18642(I) B. semiaureum Fall 1922. Type \mathcal{Q} , paratype \mathcal{Q} (Humboldt, California), additional σ^{*} (Snoqualme, State of Washington), all in coll. Fall (MCZ) = macropterous subspecies of 594 B. (Peryphus) sejunctum Csy. 1918 (single type σ^{*} from New Mexico). There are small but apparently constant differences in the internal sac of the penis.

18646(I) B. oblectans Csy. 1924. Single type \Im (Edmonton, Alberta) = 681 B. (Eupetedromus) incrematum Lec. 1860.

18647(I) B. fortunatum Csy. 1924. Type \mathfrak{S} , paratype \mathfrak{S}^* (Edmonton, Alberta) = B. (Eupetedromus) incrematum Lec. 1860.

LINDROTH: NORTH AMERICAN CARABIDAE (COLEOPT.) 129

18653(I) B. edmontonense Csy. 1924. Single type σ^{γ} (Edmonton, Alberta) = 754 B. (Diplocampa) transparens Gebl. 1829 (sulcatum Lec. 1848). Casey overlooked the double frontal sulci.

18654(I) B. contristans Csy. 1924. Type and paratype (Rhode Island) = 648 B. (Notaphus) posticum Hald. 1843 (731 fraternum Lec. 1857), dark form.

18656(I) B. lengi Notm. 1919. Paratype \mathfrak{T}^* (Ansable Lake, New York, AMN) = 681 B. (Eupetedromus) incrematum Lec. 1860.

18658(I) B. terracense Csy. 1924. Single type σ^{1*} (Terrace, British Columbia) = 713 B. (Furcacampa) decipiens Dej. 1831 (723 versicolor Lec. 1848).

20704(II) B. yukonum Fall 1926. Single σ^* type (Dawson, Yukon Territory, Canada, coll. Fall, MCZ) = B. (Peryphus) grapeioides Munster 1930 (sahlbergioides Munst. 1932), from northern Scandinavia and Siberia. Fall's name is valid. The penis is identical with that of European specimens (fig. 12c). The metasternum is shown in figure 6. I have seen two additional American males*, from Mount McKinley, Alaska (F. W. Morand, 1932, NMW), and Reindeer Depot, Mackenzie Delta, North West Territory, Canada (W. J. Brown, 1948, DAO). The type is macropterous; the other two, brachypterous.

20705(II) B. mekinleyi Fall 1926. Types $\mathfrak{S}^* \mathfrak{P}$ (Alaska, coll. Fall, MCZ) = B. (Daniela) scandicum Lindroth 1943 (northern Scandinavia). Fall's name is thus valid. The only external difference between American and Scandinavian specimens seems to be the more diffuse microsculpture of the prothorax in American ones. But the penis (fig. 12a) is almost identical, except that the tricorned piece distally in the internal sac is somewhat different in shape and the longest stylet is straight, not slightly curved, in the single Alaskan male. There seems no reason at present to attach even subspecific value to these small differences.

21695(III) B. bryanti Carr 1932 (preoccupied by Peryphus bryanti Andrewes 1921). Holotype σ^{γ} in DAO, allotype and several paratypes in different museums (all from Mackenzie River, northwestern Canada). In the holotype the internal sac of the penis unfortunately is everted, preventing a comparison. Among the paratypes 1 $\sigma^{\gamma*}$ (NMW), though immature, gave a tolerably good genital slide, showing complete agreement with the Palaearctic B. (Chrysobracteon) lapponicum Zett. 1840 (fig. 8a), which is the valid name. Another ♂* (Near Holy Cross, Lower Yukon, Alaska, NMW) gave a perfect slide, the penis differing from *lapponicum* (and probably also from bryanti) by its more slender form, the internal sac, however, being exactly the same. In external characters too the original bryanti are more like *lapponicum* than is the Alaskan example. The latter is more convex with more regularly and deeply punctured elytral striae, the 3rd interval less widened in front of the anterior "silver spot"; the eyes perhaps a little larger; the predominant colour of the upper surface brass green: the first antennal joint reddish brown with slight metallic reflection only above; the ground colour of the legs also (dark) reddish brown, with strong metallic lustre. In true bryanti only the base of femora is pale. The Alaskan form, judging from one single example, thus comes very close to the eastern Siberian subspecies latiusculum Mtsch. 1844 (see Lindroth 1939-40, p. 69).

B. mimus Hayw. 1897 (p. 108). This is a manuscript name of Leconte, also used in his collection ("type" \heartsuit , Lake Superior). It was briefly but sufficiently characterized by Hayward, as a variety of *rersicolor* Lec. It is a *Furcacampa*, identical with *rersicolor* Csy. 1918 (nec Lec. 1848) and 724 *pellax* Csy. 1918, and is valid.

B. farrarae Hatch 1950. Paratype \mathcal{Z}^* (State of Washington) = sbsp. of the Siberian *B. (Plataphodes) crenulatum* F. Sahlb. 1844 (penis, fig. 10e). Very closely related to 501 quadriforeolatum Mnh. 1843 (fig. 10b).

B. wenatchac Hatch 1950. Paratype σ^* (Moses Coulee, State of Washington) = 584 *B.* (*Peryphus*) petrosum Gebl. 1833 (*lucidum* Lee. 1848, etc.).

B. fenderi Hatch 1950. Paratype \mathcal{A}^* (Ocean Park, State of Washington) = 18642(I) B. (Peryphus) sejunctum Csy. 1918 sbsp. semiaureum Fall 1922.

892 Tachyta inornata Say 1823 is different from nana Gyll., as originally stated in Leng (also in Csiki 1928, p. 184). The synonymy was wrongly re-established in Csiki's supplement (1933, p. 1650), probably on the authority of Andrewes (1925, p. 486). The armature of the internal sac of the penis is identical but *inornata* lacks the

rudiments of carinae at the hind angles of the prothorax, present in the Palaearctic *nana*. Therefore *inornata* must be regarded as a different subspecies. From Say's description of *inornata* (1823, p. 87) it appears that *angulata* Csy. is excluded by the form of the prothorax, and Say would probably not have overlooked the pronounced prothoracic carina of *falli* Hayw. Casey's interpretation of *inornata* (1918, p. 218) is therefore probably right. The "*Tachys nanus*" of Hayward (1900, p. 198) is a complex, apparently including *angulata* Csy., which is distinct.

892(syn.) T. picipes Kby. 1837. Types $\sigma^* \varphi = nana$ Gyll. sbsp. inornata Say 1823, sensu Csy. 1918. The penis is quite different from that of falli Hayw. and angulata Csy. but agrees completely with the Palaearctic nana.

902(syn.) Patrobus longiventris Mnh. 1853. Two types \heartsuit (Kadjak, Alaska, UMH) = fossifrons Eschz. 1823 f. typ. (Darlington 1938, p. 162).

903 P. fulvus Mnh. 1853. 2 σ , one marked as type (Kadjak, Alaska, UMH) = immature specimens of fossifrons Eschz. 1823 f. typ. (Darlington 1938, p. 162).

909 Trechus borealis Schffr. 1915. Type ♂ (Battle Harbour, Labrador, NMW) = 910d apicalis Mtsch. 1845 sbsp. micans Lec. 1848, as generally accepted.

910 T. fulrus Lec. 1848. Type $\sigma^{\uparrow*}$ (Lake Superior) = apicalis Mtsch. 1845 sbsp. micans Lec. 1848 (type $\sigma^{\uparrow*}$, Lake Superior), as generally accepted.

Lyperopherus innuitorum Brown 1949. σ^* , det. author (Chesterfield, North West Territory) = Pterostichus (Lyp.) vermiculosus Men. 1850, from the Eurasian tundra.

1143 Cryobius fastidiosus Mnh. 1853. Type \mathfrak{S}^* (Kenai, Alaska) = 1144 Pterostichus (Cryobius) brevicornis Kby. 1837 (mandibularis auct. nec Kby.).

1144 C. brevicornis Kby. 1837. Two types $\sigma^* \, \varphi = fastidiosus$ Mnh. 1853, so the Kirby name is valid. This is the species known as mandibularis in Labrador, Newfoundland, and New England. Specimens from east of Hudson Bay differ by paler, more slender palpi and probably form a distinct subspecies. There are no constant differences in form and inner armature of penis.

1145 C. mandibularis Kby. 1837. Type \mathfrak{S} (without abdomen) = a bright metallic species, quite different from what is regarded as mandibularis in northeastern North America (*i.e. brevicoruis* Kby.). Kirby's "var. b" is different from both of them. Owing to the complete confusion in the nomenclature of subg. Cryobius, I am unable to state any possible synonyms of mandibularis f. typ. and its "var. b".

Page 58 (without number) Cryobius arcticus J. Sahlb. 1880. Type σ^* (Kola Peninsula, Russia, UMH) = 1144 Pterostichus (Cryobius) brevieornis Kby. 1837. Already placed as a synonym of 1143 fastidiosus Mnh. 1853 by Poppius 1906 (p. 192).

18702(I) Omascus brevibasis Csy. 1924. Single type \circ (New York)

= 1174 Pterostichus (Melanius) caudicalis Say 1823 (dwarf specimen). 18703(I) O. tenuis Csy. 1924. Single type & (New Jersey) = 1175

Pterostichus (Melanius) luetuosus Dej. 1828.

18704(I) O. confluens Csy. 1924. Type ♂ (Rhode Island) paratypes ♀ (no loc.) = Pterostichus (Melanius) luctuosus Dej. 1828.

18705(I) O. acqualis Csy. 1924. Single type \heartsuit (New Jersey) = 1176 Pterostichus (Mclanius) corvinus Dej. 1828 (large specimen).

18706(I) O. testaccus Csy. 1924. Single type \Im (Rhode Island) = 1175 Pterostichus (Melanius) luctuosus Dej. 1828 (immature).

18707(I) Dysidius egens Csy. 1924. Single type \Im (New Jersey) = 1178 Pterostichus (Dysidius) mutus Say 1823 (dwarf specimen).

1181 Pseudargutor crythropus Dej. The subgenus- (or genus-) name "Platysmatus Lut." was introduced by Csiki (1933, p. 1666; Leng, II. suppl., 1933, p. 13) by mistake (vide Lutshnik 1929, p. 5), and in any case it cannot replace the earlier Pseudargutor Csy. 1918, as proposed by Leng (loc. cit.). Actually the American species belongs to Lagarus, and if this is regarded a subgenus of Pterostichus, as is usually done, the species name leconteianus Lut. 1921 becomes valid, with crythropus Dej. 1828 (nec Mrsh. 1802) and nitidus Kby. 1837 (nec Dej. 1828) as synonyms. The penis and parameres of the American species very much resemble those of the Palaearctic vernalis Panz. (very imperfectly figured by Jeannel 1941–42, p. 741). How Jeannel (loc. cit.) could join Lagarus with Stomis, I am unable to understand.

1182-1187. Micromascus Csy. 1918 is preoccupied by Desbr. 1906

and is therefore changed to Omaseulus Lut. 1929 (Americomaseus Cki. 1930). Actually these species belong to the Palaearctic subg. Argutor Steph. 1828 of Pterostichus.

1189 Bothriopterus lateseans Csy. 1913. Types $\mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{Q}$ (California) = 1192 Pterostichus (Bothr.) adstrictus Eschz. 1823, or possibly a subspecies, in which case, however, oblongiusculus Mtsch. 1859 (original example from California in coll. Lec.) seems to have priority.

1191 B. sericeus Csy. 1913. Single type \heartsuit (Clackanias, Oregon) = 1192 Pterostichus (Bothr.) adstrictus Eschz. 1823. However 3 examples in MCZ (Creston, British Columbia), bearing the label "sericeus, comp. with type" (from coll. A. S. Nicolay) = oregonus Lec.

1193 B. latebricola Csy. 1913. 9 ex., $\sigma^2 \Leftrightarrow$ (California) = 1192 Pterostichus (Bothr.) adstrictus Eschz. 1823.

1194 B. luczoti Dej. 1928. Described from Newfoundland. The author's remarks on the structure of the prothorax exclude pensylvanicus Lec. It therefore = 1192 Pterostichus (Bothr.) adstrictus Eschz. 1823.

1195 B. shastanus Csy. 1913. Single type ♂ (Siskiyou, Colorado)
= 1192 Pterostichus (Bothr.) adstrictus Eschz. 1823.

1196 B. saxatilis Csy. 1913. 8 ex., ♂ ♀ (Colorado; Idaho; Arizona) = 1192 Pterostichus (Bothr.) adstrictus Eschz. 1823.

1197 B. laxicollis Csy. 1913. 3 ex., $\sigma^2 \notin$ (Colorado) = 1192 Pterostichus (Bothr.) adstrictus Eschz. 1823.

1198(syn.) B. colligatus Walk. 1866. Type \Im (British Columbia, BMN) = Pterostichus (Bothr.) oregonus Lec. 1861, as already accepted.

1198(syn.) *B. obtusangulus* Mtsch. 1859. 1 ex. (probably original) in coll. Lee. = 1192 *Pterostichus* (*Bothr.*) *adstrictus* Eschz. 1823.

1198(syn.) *B. motschulskyi* Mackl. 1857 (not 1859). The identification with *oregonus* Lec. is wrong; according to the description it = 1192 *Ptcrostichus* (*Bothr.*) *adstrictus* Eschz. 1823.

1198(syn.) B. sexpunctatus Mnh. 1853. Types $\overline{\circ}^* \$ (Kadjak, Alaska, UMH) = 1192 Pterostichus (Bothr.) adstrictus Eschz. 1823.

18709(I) B. angusticollis Csy. 1924. Single type ♂ (Canon, Utah) = 1192 Pterostichus (Bothr.) adstrictus Eschz. 1823.

1244 Curtonotus rufimanus Kby. 1837. Type $\mathcal{A}^* = 1245$ Amara (Cyrtonotus) torrida III. 1798 (cylindrica Lec. 1878, etc.).

BULLETIN: MUSEUM OF COMPARATIVE ZOOLOGY

1244(syn.) C. brevilabris Kby. 1837. Type $\sigma^* = 1245$ Amara (Cyrtonotus) torrida III. 1798 (cylindrica Lec. 1878, etc.).

1244(syn.) C. lacustris Lec. 1855. Type \heartsuit (Lake Superior). It is a distinct species and its penis (according to several males externally agreeing with the type) is quite different from that of 1245 Amara (Cyrt.) torrida Ill. (rufimana Kby., brevilabris Kby. reflexa Putz., eylindrica Lec., etc.).

1244(syn.) C. reflexus Putz. 1866. Original σ (Newfoundland, coll. Lec.) = 1245 Amara (Cyrt.) torrida Ill. 1798 (cylindrica Lec. 1878, etc.).

1245 C. cylindricus Lec. 1878. Type σ^{\uparrow} (Colorado), paratype $\sigma^{\uparrow*}$ (Hudson Bay Territory) = Amara (Cyrt.) torrida Ill. 1798.

1247 C. labradorcnsis Csy. 1918. Type \mathfrak{S}^* , 7 paratypes (W. St. Modest, Labrador) = 1245 Amara (Cyrt.) torrida Ill. 1798 (cylindrica Lec. 1878, etc.).

1248 C. scrutatus Csy. 1918. Type σ^* , 2 paratypes (W. St. Modest, Labrador) = 1245 Amara (Cyrt.) torrida Ill. 1798 (cylindrica Lec. 1878, etc.).

1251 C. brunnipennis Dej. 1831 is so closely related to the Palaearctic Amara (Cyrt.) alpina Payk. 1790, with which it is connected by intergrading forms, that it must be regarded as a subspecies.

1251(syn.) C. obtusus Lec. 1855. Type (Alaska) = Amara (Cyrt.) alpina Payk. 1790 sbsp. brunnipennis Dej. 1831.

1252 C. rubripennis Csy. 1918. 11 ex. (Colorado) in coll. Casey = 1251 Amara (Cyrt.) alpina Payk. 1790 sbsp. brunnipennis Dej 1831.

1253 C. deficiens Csy. 1918. Type and paratype \mathfrak{P} , both immature (New Hampshire) = 1251 Amara (Cyrt.) alpina Payk. 1790 sbsp. brunnipennis Dej. 1831.

1254 C. argutus Csy. 1918. Type ♂, 4 paratypes (New Hampshire) = 1251 Amara (Cyrt.) alpina Payk. 1790 sbsp. brunnipennis Dej. 1831.

1255 C. inanis Csy. 1918. Single type \heartsuit (New Hampshire) = 1251 Amara (Cyrt.) alpina Payk. 1790 sbsp. brunnipennis Dej. 1831.

1258(syn.?) C. hyperboreus Dej. 1831. Dejean's description (especially colour of antennae, form of prothorax) shows beyond doubt that his species = Amara (Cyrt.) elongata Lec. 1850. Dejean's name is consequently valid. Further synonyms are: peregrina Mor. 1863, simulans J. Sahlb. 1880 (Harpalus), imperfecta Brown 1930.

18712(I) C. albertanus Csy. 1924. Type σ^{*} , 3 paratypes (Edmonton, Alberta), 1 additional ex. (Husavik, Manitoba) = 1245

135

Amara (Cyrt.) torrida Ill. 1798 (cylindrica Lec. 1878).

18714(I) C. brevipennis Csy. 1924. Single type \heartsuit (North West Territory) = 1245 Amara (Cyrt.) torrida Ill. 1798 (cylindrica Lec. 1878).

18715(I) C. manitobensis Csy. 1924. Type and paratype \mathfrak{P} (Manitoba) = 1244 Amara (Cyrt.) lacustris Lec. 1855.

18716(I) C. durus Csy. 1924. Single type \Im (Edmonton, Alberta) = 1245 Amara (Cyrt.) torrida Ill. 1798 (cylindrica Lec. 1878).

18717(I) C. biarcuatus Csy. 1924. Single type \Im (Edmonton, Alberta) = 1245 Amara (Cyrt.) torrida Ill. 1798 (cylindrica Lec. 1878).

18718(I) C. subtilis Csy. 1924. Single type ♂ (Stupart Bay, Labrador) = 1251 Amara (Cyrt.) alpina Payk. 1790 sbsp. brunnipennis Dej. 1831.

20731(II) C. imperfectus Brown 1930. Type and paratype \Im (Bradore Bay, Labrador, DAO) = 1258 Amara (Cyrt.) hyperborea Dej. 1831 (elongata Lec. 1850).

1260 Stereocerus hacmatopus Dej. 1828. Borcobia strigicollis F. Sahlb. 1844 is a synonym according to $2 \sigma^*$ from the Lena River and Tschuktsch Peninsula, Siberia (UMH). Stereocerus Kby. 1837 (Borcobia Tschitsch. 1896) must be regarded as a subgenus of Pterostichus (cf. Buchanan 1924; Leech 1935.)

1268 Bradytus nainensis Csy. 1918. Type \mathfrak{S}^* and paratype \mathfrak{S} (Nain, Labrador) = 1269 Amara (Bradytus) glacialis Mnh. 1853.

1270 B. putzeysi Horn 1875. Single type \mathcal{Q} (St. Pierre-Miquelon, coll. Lec.) = 1267 Amara (Bradytus) apricaria Payk. 1790.

1285(syn.) Celia inacqualis Kby. 1837. Type Q = Amara (Celia) patruclis Dej. 1831, as generally accepted.

1285(syn.?) C. interstitialis Dej. 1828 is not identical with Amara (Celia) patruelis Dej. 1831 and occurs only in the extreme North West (Alaska, Yukon Territory), whereas patruelis is transamerican.

1298(syn.) C. laevipennis Kby. 1837. $2 \sigma^{1*}$ types (one without abdomen). A species distinct from Amara (Celia) erratica Dft. 1825. I know of no synonym.

1304 C. paganica Csy. 1918. Type \Im (Marquette, Michigan) = 1323 Amara (Cclia) discors Kby. 1837 (gibba Lec. 1855). Casey's paratype is a little different but probably belongs to the same species.

1309 C. remotestriata Dej. 1828 = the Palaearctic Amara (Celia) quenseli Schh. 1806. I do not think it is possible to separate the American population even as a subspecies.

1309(syn.) C. discors Kby. 1837. Type Q = 1323 Amara (Celia)

gibba Lec. 1855 and is thus valid.

18730(I) C. columbiana Csy. 1924. Single type \mathfrak{P} (British Columbia) = 1285 Amara (Celia) patruelis Dej. 1831.

Isopleurus nitidus Kby. 1837. Type $\sigma^{*} = 1350$ Amara (Celia) subacnescens Cki. 1929 (subacnea Lec. 1855, nec Sturm nec Steph.). Kirby's name was omitted by Leng, as well as by Csiki (1927-33), but it is preoccupied by Amara (s. str.) nitida Sturm 1825.

1389 Amara fallax Lec. 1848. Type \Im (Lake Superior) = 1385 impuncticallis Say 1823. The species is extremely variable.

1402 A. marquettensis Csy. 1918. Single type \Im (Marquette, Michigan) = lumicollis Schioe. 1837 (vulgaris auet. p. p.).

18751(I) A. neoscotica Csy. 1924. Type \mathfrak{S}^* (Halifax, Nova Scotia) = 1400 cuprcolata Putz. 1866. The penis of the type has been compared with that of 1 \mathfrak{S}^* from Ottawa (NMW) and this slide, in its turn, with the penis of "cuprcolata 1" in coll. Lec., a specimen received from Putzeys and probably a cotype. "A. cuprcolata" in coll. Casey is a different species, unknown to me.

18766(I) A. carriana Csy. 1924. Single type σ^{γ} (Edmonton, Alberta) = lunicollis Schioe. 1837 (rulgaris auct. p. p.).

A. incpta Lec. 1855 (p. 351; omitted in Leng; cf. Horn 1875, p. 127; Csiki 1929, p. 435), according to the type \heartsuit , is not a synonym of 1298 A. (Celia) creatica Dft. but a true Amara s. str., unknown to me.

18775(I) Rembus parallelus Csy. 1920 = 1444 obtusus Lec. 1848. I did not study Casey's type (from Illinois) but the distinguishing characters mentioned by him are all inconstant in obtusus. In 1 \heartsuit from Truro, Nova Scotia, the scutellar stria is totally absent, in 1 \eth ' from Halifax it is rudimentary on the left side, short but evident on the right. This specimen has a dorsal puncture on the 2nd stria of the right elytron only.

1471 Badister pulchellus Lee. 1848 et auct. is made up of two species, one of which was wrongly called "bipustulatus Fbr." (vide below).

1472 B. bipustulatus Fbr. 1801 does not occur in America. The name was wrongly used for *ncopulchellus n. nom.* (*pulchellus* auct. nec Lee.). Vide below (p. 153).

1483 Calathus ingratus Dej. 1828 (confusus Lec. 1854, type \mathcal{O}^* from Lake Superior) is not a synonym of the Palaearctic micropterus Dft. 1812, as proposed by Hatch (1938, p. 146), but a clearly different subspecies characterized primarily by the slightly but apparently constantly deviating apex of the penis.

1483(syn.) C. incommodus Mnh. 1853. Two types ♂* (Kenai and

"Nikol. red.", Alaska) = micropterus Dft. 1812 sbsp. ingratus Dej. 1828, as generally accepted.

1483b C. labradorinus Csy. 1913. Type ♀, 3 paratypes ♂* ♀ (W. St. Modest, Labrador) = micropterus Dft. 1812 sbsp. ingratus Dej. 1828.

1484b C. coloradensis Csy. 1913. Type \heartsuit and paratypes $\eth^* \heartsuit$ (Boulder, Colorado), 1 paratype \eth^* (Eldora, Colorado) = 1483 micropterus Dft. 1812 sbsp. ingratus Dej. 1828 (the large form).

18792(I) C. planifer Csy. 1920. Single type \heartsuit (Alaska) = 1483 micropterus Dft. 1812 sbsp. ingratus Dej. 1828.

18793(I) C. bcringi Csy. 1920. Type σ^* and 10 paratypes (all from Alaska) = micropterus Dft. 1812 sbsp. ingratus Dej. 1828.

18794(I) C. nanulus Csy. 1920. Type σ^* and 10 paratypes (all from Alaska and all immature) = .1483 micropterus Dft. 1812 sbsp. ingratus Dej. 1828 (dwarf form).

18798(I) C. aquilus Csy. 1920. Single type σ^* (Colorado) = 1483 micropterus Dft. 1812 sbsp. ingratus Dej. 1828.

1487 "Pristodactyla ambigens Bates" from the United States, in all collections seen by me, is not a Pristodactyla and not ambigens Bates (compared with the type and numerous paratypes from Mexico in BMN). Whether the "ambigens" auct. is described or not, I have been unable to decide. The parameres of the σ^2 are of the Agonum type.

1488(syn.) *P. mollis* Eschz. 1823 (nec Mrsh.). Original Q (Alaska, coll. Mnh., UMH) = 1488 *Calathus advena* Lee. 1848, as generally accepted.

1488(syn.) P. dulcis Mnh. 1853. Original ♂* (Kadjak, Alaska) = 1488 Calathus advena Lee. 1848, as generally accepted.

1488a P. lenis Mnh. 1853. Type \bigcirc^* (Kadjak, Alaska) = 1488 Calathus advena Lee. 1848. There seems no reason to maintain this form as a subspecies.

1489a P. convexa Csy. 1913. Single type \heartsuit (New York) = 1489 Synuchus impunctatus Say 1823, a large but otherwise typical specimen.

1491 P. arizonica Csy. 1913. Single type σ^* (Arizona) = 1490 Synuchus dubius Lec. 1854.

1492 P. zuniana Csy. 1913. Single type $a^{\uparrow*}$ (New Mexico) = 1490 Synuchus dubius Lec. 1854.

18799(I) P. ncomexicana Csy. 1920. Type \Im (New Mexico), paratypes $\Im^* \Im$ (Cloudcroft, New Mexico) = 1490 Synuchus dubius Lec. 1854.

18800(I) P. binaria Csy. 1920. Type ♀, 4 paratypes ♂* ♀ (S.

Arkansas) = 1488 Calathus advena Lec. 1848.

18801(I) P. scolopax Csy. 1920. Type ♂^{*}, 8 paratypes (Colorado) = 1488 Calathus advena Lec. 1848.

18802(I) P. juabitica Csy. 1924. Single type \Im (Trout Creek, Juab Co., Utah) = 1490 Synuchus dubius Lec. 1854.

1488b(III) P. brunnescens Mnh. 1852 (as var. of mollis Eschz.). Type σ^* (Atka, Alaska) = 1488 Calathus advena Lec. 1848.

1488c(III) P. breviuseula Mnh. 1852 (as var. of mollis Eschz.). Type σ^* (Atka, Alaska) = 1488 Calathus advena Lec. 1848.

1511a Platynus oetofovcolatus Maekl. 1857. Type \mathcal{O}^* (Kadjak, Alaska, UMH) = 1511 Agonum (Platynus) mannerheimi Dej. 1828 sbsp. stygicum Lec. 1854. It is a common aberration, not worthy of being named.

1536 P. elemens Lec. 1863. Type and paratype (Nova Scotia) = 1576 Agonum (Anchomenus) ruficorne Gze. 1777 (albipes Fbr. 1796).

1541(syn.) P. molestus Lec. 1866 (nec Mtsch. 1844; laevis Lec. 1854, nec Dej. 1828). The type σ^{**} ("Middle States") has a penis (fig. 14) quite different from that of Agonum (s. str.) mutatum G. & H. 1868 (atratum Lec. 1850, nec Dft. 1812) which Leconte himself later (1879, p. 56) regarded as a synonym. Since both molestum and laeve are preoccupied names, the species must be known as 18910(I) Agonum (s. str.) fidele Csy. 1920 (vide below).

1546 *P. affinis* Kby. 1837. Type $\sigma^{*} = 1547$ Agonum (s. str.) carbo Lec. 1850 and is thus valid. *A. harrisi* Lec. 1848 (according to the type φ) is a different species. In order to avoid hopeless confusion I propose that the name affine Kby. be treated as a "nomen in pracens suppressum" until harrisi has been generally adopted for the species hitherto regarded as affine.

1551 P. metallescens Lec. 1854. Casey (1920, p. 114, 122) changed this name into 18907(I) Agonum (s. str.) lacustre n. nom., because of the metallescens of Dejean (1837, p. 35). But this, as far as I can ascertain, is a nomen nudum, never described, and Leconte's name consequently remains valid.

1554 P. hardyi Lec. 1879. The 3 types (Newfoundland, coll. Lec.) = Agonum (s. str.) mülleri Hbst. 1784 (introduced from Europe).

1573(syn.) P. strigicollis Mnh. 1852. Type ♂* (Kaknu, Alaska, UMF) = Agonum (Agonodromius) bogemanni Gyll. 1813 (not 1808).

1583 P. picicornis Lec. 1860. Type σ^* (Jasper House, Alberta) and 4 additional ex. in coll. Lec. (1 σ^* "Nebraska etc.") = 1582 Agonum (Europhilus) sordens Kby. 1837 (dark specimens). 1583(syn.?) *P. similis* Kby. 1837. Two types \heartsuit belong to a distinct species of *Agonum (Europhilus)*, related to *consimile* Gyll. (18925 *invalidum* Csy.).

1584(syn.) P. gratiosus Mnh. 1853. Two "types" seen, $1 \ \varphi$ in UMH, $1 \ \sigma$ " in coll. Lec. (both from Kadjak, Alaska) = Agonum (Europhilus) ruficorne Lec. 1850, nec Gze. 1777, as generally accepted. Mannerheim's name is valid (vide lenis, below).

1586 P. gemellus Lec. 1879. Type \mathfrak{S}^* (Vancouver, British Columbia) = Agonum (Europhilus) thoreyi Dej. 1828, widely distributed in the Palaearctic Region.

1587 P. picipennis Kby. 1837. Type $\varphi = 1586$ Agonum (Europhilus) thoreyi Dej. 1828 (gemellum Lec. 1879). Kirby's "var. d", type $\varphi = 1584$ Ag. (Eur.) gratiosum Mnh. (ruficorne Lec. nec Gze.), as generally accepted. For Ag. (Eur.) picipenne auct. (nec Kby.) the name dilutipenne Mtsch. 1864 seems available. The remark "oculis vix prominulis" in the original description seems to exclude sordens Kby. which, in addition, is not known to occur as far south as New Mexico.

1587(syn.) *P. lenis* Dej. 1828. According to the original description of the colour of the antennae this must be 1586 *Agonum (Europhilus) thoreyi* Dej. 1828 (*gemellum* Lec. 1879) (cf. Casey 1920, p. 130).

P. 64(without number) P. exaratus Mnh. 1853. Type and paratype Q (Kadjak, Alaska, UMH) = Agonum (Europhilus) aldanicum Popp. 1905, described from Lena River, Siberia; exaratus is thus valid.

18883(I) Platynomicrus fragilissimus Csy. 1920. Type ♂ (Toronto) = 1589 Agonum (Platynomicrus) nigriceps Lec. 1848 (brachypterous form).

18886(I) Scricoda insulina Csy. 1920. Single type ♂ (Edmonton, Alberta) = 1573 Agonum (Agonodromius) bogemanni Gyll. 1813 (not 1808).

18887(I) S. invidiosa Csy. 1920. Type ♀, paratype ♀ (Colorado) = 1573 Agonum (Agonodromius) bogcmanni Gyll. 1813 (not 1808).

18888(I) S. tacomae Csy. 1920. Type Q ("Washington Territory"), 4 additional ex. (British Columbia) = 1573 Agonum (Agonodromius) boqemanni Gyll. 1813 (not 1808).

18908(I) Agonum terracense Csy. 1924. Single type $\sigma^{\uparrow*}$ (Terrace, British Columbia) = 1551 metallescens Lec. 1854 (18907 lacustre Csy. 1920).

18910(I) A. fidele Csy. 1920. Type σ^{1*} and paratype \heartsuit (Rhode Island) = 1541(syn.) laeve Lec. 1854 (molestum Lec. 1866). Both the

latter names are preoccupied and *fidele* Csy. is therefore valid. A. mutatum G. & H. (atratum Lec.) is a different species.

18911(I) A. subinflatum Csy. 1920. Type ♂^{*}, 2 paratypes o⁷ (Bayfield, Wisconsin) = 18910(I) fidele Csy. 1920.

18912(1) A. humile Csy. 1920. Single type σ^* (Kalispell, Montana) = 1543 propinguum G. & H. 1868 (piccum Lec. nec L.).

18913(I) A. insuctum Csy. 1920. Type ♀, 3 paratypes ♂* ♀ (Wilbur, Washington) = 1543 propinguum G. & H. 1868 (piccum Lec. nec L.).

18914(I) A. amens Csy. 1924. Type ♂^{*}, paratypes ♂^{*} ♀ (Edmonton, Alberta) = 1543 propinguum G. & H. 1868 (piecum Lec. nec L.).

18925(I) A. invalidum Csy. 1924. Single type σ (Edmonton, Alberta) = A. (Europhilus) consimile Gyll. 1810, widely distributed in the Palaearctic region.

18928(I) Europhilus adustus Csy. 1920. Type σ^2 and 1 paratype (Indiana), 4 paratypes ("Levette coll.") = 1588 Agonum (Eur.) lutulentum Lec. 1854.

18930(I) E. collusor Csy 1920. Single type σ^2 (Montana) = 1585 Agonum (Eur.) retractum Lec. 1848.

18931(I) E. symmetrieus Csy. 1920. Type \Im (Devil's Lake, North Dakota), 2 paratypes (Kansas; British Columbia) = 1584 Agonum (Eur.) gratiosum Mnh. 1853.

18932(I) E. properans Csy. 1920. Single type Q (New Hampshire) = 1584 Agonum (Eur.) gratiosum Mnh. 1853 (unusually dark).

18933(I) E. facilis Csy. 1920. Type \Im and 5 paratypes (Rhode Island) = 1585 Agonum (Eur.) retractum Lec. 1848.

18934(I) E. serenus Csy. 1920. Type σ and 1 paratype (Bayfield, Wisconsin), 3 paratypes (Minnesota) = Agonum (Eur.) retractum Lec. 1848.

18935(I) E. antiquus Notm. 1922. Type ♂ (Connecticut, AMN) = 1584 Agonum (Eur.) gratiosum Mnh. 1853.

18937(I) E. frosti Csy. 1924. Single type \Im (Maine) = 1582 Agonum (Eur.) sordens Kby. 1837.

1696 Blechrus glabratus Dft. 1812 (not 1825) is not the Palaearctic Microlestes minutulus Gze. 1777 (glabratus Dft.) but a composite, consisting of several purely American species (cf. Casey 1920, p. 268–271).

1732 Cymindis evanescens Csy. 1913, according to the σ^{*} type (Utah), is distinct from *cribricollis* Dej.

1735 C. acomana Csy. 1913. Single type ♂* (New Mexico) =

1738 cribricollis Dej. 1831.

1739 C. rupimontis Csy. 1913. Single type \mathcal{Q} (Colorado) = 1738 cribricollis Dej. 1831.

1740 C. marginata Kby. 1837. Two types $\sigma^* = 1738$ cribricollis Dej. 1831. In Leng (3rd suppl., 1933) wrongly made a synonym of 1744 brevipennis Zimm. (marginata Chd. nec Kby.).

1741 *C. alticola* Csy. 1913. Single type σ^{7*} (macropterous) (New Hampshire) = 1738 *cribricollis* Dej. 1831.

19022(I) C. kirbyi Csy. 1924. Single type σ^* (Colorado) = 1738 cribricollis Dej. 1831.

19023(I) C. parowana Csy. 1924. Type σ^{*} , paratype \heartsuit (Parowan, Utah) = 1736 unicolor Kby. 1837.

19024(I) C. planifera Csy. 1924 Single type σ^* (loc. unknown) = 1738 cribricollis Dej. 1831.

19026(I) C. obliqua Csy. 1924. Single type ♀ (Edmonton, Alberta) = 1738 cribricollis Dej. 1831.

19027(I) C. sinuata Csy. 1924. Single type \heartsuit (New Mexico) = 1738 cribricollis Dej. 1831.

19028(I) C. alternans Csy. 1924. Single type \mathcal{Q} (loc. unknown) = 1738 cribricollis Dej. 1831.

1801 Miscodera arctica Payk. 1800 of North America is subspecifically distinct from the Palaearctic form and should be called sbsp. americana Mnh. 1853 (hardyi Chd. 1861). Hatch (1933a) wrongly united it with the Siberian sbsp. crythropus Mtsch. 1844.

1831a Chlaenius cordicollis Kby. 1837 is a valid species, not a subspecies of *leucoscelis* Chevr. 1834 (*cf* Darlington 1934). The penis is very different.

1903(syn.) Harpalus convictor Csy. 1884. Single type ♂ (Long Island) = 1903 affinis Schrank 1781 (acneus Fbr. 1792, viridiaencus Beauv 1805) Casey later suppressed his species (1914, p. 75), but re-established it in 1924 (p. 94).

1904(syn.), 19048(I) *H. rotundicollis* Kby. 1837. Type $\varphi = amputatus$ Say 1834.

1920(syn.?) *H. longior* Kby. 1837. Type $\sigma^{\uparrow*}$ of *f. typ.* and type $\sigma^{\uparrow*}$ of "var. b", both = 1925 *H. (Pseudophonus) pennsylvanicus* DeG. 1752. *H. longicollis* Lec. 1848 thus remains valid.

1949 *H. foveicollis* Lec. 1848. Single type σ^* (Maine) = 1956 herbivagus Say 1823, with abnormally deep and large foveae of prothorax.

1950 H. recensus Csy. 1914. Type ♂* (W. St. Modest, Labrador)

= 1956 nigritarsis Sahlb. 1817 sbsp. proximus Lec. 1848.

1951 II. acquabilis Csy. 1914. Single type \Im (Colorado) = 1944 - pleuriticus Kby 1837.

1952 *H. lascivus* Csy. 1914. Single type σ^* (British Columbia) = 1944 pleuriticus Kby. 1837.

1953 *H. pumilio* Csy. 1914. Single type \Im (Bayfield, Wisconsin), additional \Im (Edmonton, Alberta), both immature, = 1944 pleuriticus Kby. 1837.

1954 H. perspicuus Csy. 1914. Single type ♂^{*}, immature (Boulder, Colorado) = 1944 pleuriticus Kby. 1837.

1955 *H. lividulus* Csy. 1914. Type σ^{\uparrow} , paratype σ^{\uparrow} (Bayfield, Wisconsin) and 7 additional ex. = 1944 pleuriticus Kby. 1837.

1956a H. proximus Lec. 1848 is not a sbsp. of herbivagus Say, but of the Palaearctic nigritaris Sahlb. 1817. The single type is a \mathcal{Q} from Lake Superior.

1959 *H. placidus* Csy. 1884. Type \mathcal{O}^* (New Jersey) and 3 additional ex. = 1944 plcuriticus Kby. 1837.

1968 *H. opacipennis* Hald. 1843 is different from 1969 *plenalis* Csy. 1914, as assumed by the latter (p. 113), according to an original ex. of *opacipennis* (without loc., coll. Lec.), which can be regarded as the type.

1998(syn.?) *H. ochropus* Kby. 1837. The single type \mathfrak{S}^* is different from *desertus* Lec. of which Dr. Darlington sent 1 \mathfrak{S} from New Mexico agreeing in all essential characters with Leconte's \mathfrak{S} type (MCZ).

2006(syn.?) *H. basilaris* Kby, 1837. Types σ^{*} φ belong to the species generally passing under this name or *obesulus* Lec. 1852. Kirby's name is valid.

2006(syn.) (III) *H. extensus* Walk. 1866 ("*Amara extensa*"). Type Q (British Columbia, BMN) = *basilaris* Kby. 1837 (*obesulus* Lec. 1852), as already accepted by Csiki (1932, p. 1180).

19078(I) H. nivalis Csy. 1924. Single type σ^* (Saskatchewan) = 1944 pleuriticus Kby. 1837.

19087(I) H. modulatus Csy. 1924. Single type \mathfrak{P} (Quebec) = 1969 plenalis Csy. 1914.

19088(I) *H. leviceps* Csy. 1924. Type and 4 paratypes, all \mathcal{Q} (Marquette, Michigan) = 1969 *plenalis* Csy. 1914, or possibly a different subspecies.

2091 Anisodactylus interpunctatus Kby. 1837. Types σ^{1*} , 2 $\varphi = 2090$ nigrita Dej. 1829 (nec Lec.). The interpunctatus auct. (lecontei Chd. nec G. & H., nigrita Lec. nec Dej.) thus lacks a name and I

propose for it **Anisodactylus kirbyi n. nom**. It differs from *nigrita* Dej. in having only one setigerous puncture each side of the clypeus and in the penis, which is more arcuate, more strongly pigmented in the apical half, and with a rough longitudinal sculpture of the surface. As holotype σ and allotype Q I designate a pair from Cheticamp, Nova Scotia, in DAO.

2146a Trichocellus ruficrus Kby. 1837. Single type = cognatus Gyll. 1827. There is no reason to regard the North American population as a different subspecies.

21723(III) Trichocellus porsildi Brown 1948 apparently belongs to the subg. Orcoxenus but differs from the Eurasian mannerheimi F. Sahlb. (ponojensis J. Sahlb., setiporus Reitt., orcophilus J. and K. Dan.) by the narrower, more pointed penis (cf. Lindroth 1943, fig. 21). I have seen porsildi from Alaska (NMW), Manitoba (DAO), Labrador (NMW, DAO) and Colorado, the latter specimen from Leavenworth Valley (10–11.000 ft.) 15. VI. 26, H. F. Wickham, 1 (MCZ).

20746(II) Triliarthrus frosti Fall 1930. Type rightarrow * (Natick, Massachusetts) = 2160 protractus Csy. 1914 (type rightarrow *, Massachusetts).

2163(syn.) T. similis Kby. 1837. Type \heartsuit of f. typ., type \heartsuit * of "var. b", both = 2261 Agonoderus comma Fbr. 1801.

2171(syn.) Stenocellus flavipes Kby. 1837. Types $\sigma^* \varphi = Brady$ cellus (Sten.) rupestris Say 1823. Leng has this synonymy but at thesame time incorrectly lists flavipes Kby. as a synonym of 915 Treehushydropicus Horn 1883.

2213(syn.?) Acupalpus immunis Kby. 1837. Types \mathfrak{S}^* , 2 $\mathfrak{Q} = 2238$ Stenolophus conjunctus Say 1823.

DISCUSSION OF CERTAIN SPECIES GROUPS

In the arrangement of species in his list Leng usually followed Casey, using the divisions into major groups proposed by that author. This usually involved splitting up the larger, old genera. On the other hand, there is a clear tendency among recent coleopterists — with the exception notably of Jeannel — *against* such splitting. How impractical "splitters" can make their nomenclature is shown by lepidopterists, and most of us would prefer to go in the other direction, to make the genera as large as possible and change the "modern" micro-genera into subgenera for the use of specialists. Also, among Carabidae, it is inconsistent to retain *Bembidion* and *Harpalus* as collective genera but to break up *Pterostichus, Amara*, and *Agonum*

BULLETIN: MUSEUM OF COMPARATIVE ZOOLOGY

(Platynus) into numerous small ones, as done by Casey.

Another trouble is that many subgeneric and several generic names have been used in different senses on opposite sides of the Atlantic. Sometimes, as in *Bembidion*, the American subgenera (created by Casey) show almost no correspondence with the names used for Old World groups. In order to encourage comparisons, I have tried in the list above to apply generic and subgeneric names used for Palaearctic fauna to appropriate American species.

1. Bembidion

In this genus, the largest in the family, agreement on the use of subgeneric names is particularly desirable. Some attempts to give North American species their proper place in Palæaretic subgenera have already been made by Netolitzky (especially in the important paper of 1942–43). Here follows a similar, more extensive review of the American species known to me, based largely on male genitalia.

Chrysobracteon Net. (s. l.): The species from 408 inaequale to 419 punctatostriatum, and 18612(I) carrianum, 21695(III) lapponicum (bryanti). The division of this subgenus into 6 new ones (Netolitzky 1942–43) was unfortunate and unnecessary.

Bractcon Bed.: 424 bowditchi.

Odontium Lec.: 420 carinatum, 421 sculpturatum, 426 confusum, 429 coxendix.

Ochthedromus Lec.: 431 bifossulatum, 432 americanum.

Bracteomimus n. subg. (type chalceum Dej.): 591 honestum, 592 chalceum.

Hydrium Lec.: 438 nitidum, 439 obliquulum, 440 lacvigatum.

Metallina Mtsch.: 675 dyschirinum, lampros Hbst. (figs. 2, 9a), properaus Steph. (figs. 2, 9b).

Actedium Mtsch.: 20700(II) lachnophoroides.



Fig. 2. *a*, *b*, head with frontal grooves; *c*, *d*, penis, seen from the convex dorsal side. *a* and *c*, *Bembidion lampros* Hbst., *b* and *d*, *B*. properans Steph.



Fig. 3. At left. Left shoulder of: a, Bembidion, subg. Plataphodes; b, subg. Plataphus and Blepharoplataphus.

Fig. 4. At right. Last ventral segments of: a, Bembidion, subg. Blepharoplataphus; b, subg. Plataphus s. str.



Fig. 5. Prothorax of: a, Bembidion hyperboraeorum Munst.; b, B. hasti Sahlb. Scandinavian specimens.



Fig. 6. At left. Metasternum between the middle coxae of: *a*, *Bembidion* grapei Gyll.; *b*, *B. yukonum* Fall. Scandinavian specimens.

Fig. 7. At right. Last 5 joints of antennae (tip down) of: *a*, *Bembidion yukonum* Fall; *b*, *B. grapei* Gyll.; *c*, *B. dauricum* Mtsch. Scandinavian specimens.

BULLETIN: MUSEUM OF COMPARATIVE ZOOLOGY

Trechonepha Csy. (vide Netolitzky 1942–43, p. 17): 460 funcreum, 467 irideseens, 486 simplex.

Plataphodes Ganglb. (fig. 3a): 498 complanulum, 501 quadrifoveolatum, 502 incertum (penis, fig. 10d), 18620(I) occultator. erenulatum F. Sahlb. sbsp. farrarae Hatch.

Plataphus Mtsch. (fig. 3b): 474 gebleri sbsp. turbatum, 476 rusticum, 480 gratiosum, 482 planatum, 487 planiusculum, 492 flebile with 18623(I) sbsp. carolinense, hyperboracorum Munst., lenense Popp.

Blepharoplataphus Net. (if considered distinct from Plataphus): hasti Sahlb.

Hirmoplataphus Net.: 512 nigrum, 514 quadrulum, 521 salebratum (concolor auct.), 523 recticolle, 529 longulum (concolor Kby.), 530 humboldtiense.



Fig. 8. Penis of: a, Bembidion lapponicum Zett. (bryanti Carr); b, B. rupestre L. Scandinavian specimens.

LINDROTH: NORTH AMERICAN CARABIDAE (COLEOPT.)

Trichoplataphus Net.: 533 planum, 536 fugax, 600 grandiceps.

Peryphus Steph.: 540 transversale, 547 lugubre, 560 grapei (figs. 6, 7, 12), 572 lacunarium (picipes auct.), 573 scopulinum, 575 bimaculatum and sordidum, 577 postremum, 579 striola, 581 consanguineum, 582 stephensi (eanadense), 584 petrosum (lucidum), 586 nevadense, 588 ustulatum, 588a rupicola, 594 sejunctum with 18642(I) sbsp. semiaurcum, 599 obscurellum (fuseicrus), 20704(II) yukonum, dauricum Mtsch, rupestre L.

Daniela Net.: 20705(II) mekinleyi.

Hydriomierus Csy.: 552 brevistriatum, 553 californicum, 604 semistriatum, 18663(I) quadratulum.

Eupetedromus Net.: 680 graciliforme, 681 incrematum, immaturum n. sp.



Fig. 9. Penis of: a, *Bembidion lampros* Hbst. (Finland); b, B. properans Steph. (Sweden); c, B. simplex Hayw. (Type, Mt. Washington, New Hampshire.)



Fig. 10. Penis of: a, Bembidion complanulum Mnh. (penis compared with lecto-holotype; Paradise Park, Mt. Rainier, Washington, i.e. loc. class. of parvulum Notm.); b, B. qudrifoveolatum Mnh. (lecto-holotype, Sitka, R incertim Mtsch lasterno of tetradiuntain Alaska): c. B. crenulatum F. Sahlb (tune Ochotsk Sihonia): J



Fig. 11. Penis of: a, Bembidion planiusculum Mnh. (lecto-holotype, Sitka, Alaska); b, B. hasti Sahlb. (Norway); c, B. hyperboraeorum Munst. (Sweden); d, B. gebleri Gebl. (Siberia); e, B. lenense Popp. (Glenbournie, Benne Bay, Newfoundland).



Fig. 12. Penis of: a, Bembidion mckintegi Fall (scandicum Lindr.); b, B, grapei Gyll. (picipes Khy., nitens Lee.); c, B, gukonum Fall (grapeioides Munst.); d, B, dauricum Mtsch. All drawn from Swedish specimens.

LINDROTH: NORTH AMERICAN CARABIDAE (COLEOPT.) 151

Notaphus Steph.: 606 coloradense, 612 nigripes, 617 variolosum, 618 umbratum, 625 approximatum, 641 indistinctum, 648 posticum, 651 patruele, 655 rapidum, 659 versutum, 660 variegatum, 683 insulatum, 686 viridicolle (cordatum), 687 nubiculosum, 694 contractum, 695 constrictum.

Furcacampa Net.: 705 affine, 707 impotens, 713 decipiens, 724 mimus (pellax), and probably all species, as far as distinct, between 705 and 724. The subgenus was established on affine (Netolitzky 1931, p. 158) and named after the partially doubled frontal grooves. Though this fits affine only, the name must be applied also to the other members of the group, listed above, their close relationship with affine being shown by the inner armature of the penis. In any case the frontal grooves show a common external character: they are parallel between the eyes, with a more or less evident convergent prolongation on each side of the clypeus inside the setigerous puncture (lacking in Notaphus). Members of the Palaearctic subg. Trepanes, e.g. octomaculatum Gze., are generally similar but have straight frontal grooves, converging for their whole length. The armature of the internal sac of the penis is essentially different in Trepanes as well as in Notaphus.

Semicampa Net.: 725 muscicola, 755 roosevelti (perconcinnum), 18662(I) semicinetum, browni n. sp.

Bembidion s. str. (Lopha Steph.): 734 quadrimaculatum L., 741 dubitans, 744 pedicellatum, 747 mutatum, 749 praceinctum.

Diplocampa Bed. (nec Csy.): 754 transparens (sulcatum).

Trepanedoris Net. (Diplocampa Csy.): 759 frontale, 764 acutifrons, 772 cautum, 776 anguliferum.

Amerizus Chd.: 778 oblongulum, 779 spectabile.

2. BADISTER PULCHELLUS group

The old record of the Palaearctic *bipustùlatus* Fbr. from Vancouver (Leconte 1880, p. 165) has suggested a comparison between the bright, spotted *Badister* of America and of Europe. The two "*bipustulatus*" males in coll. Leconte prove, by a genital slide (fig. 13d), to be identical with the common American species usually known as "*pulchellus* Lec." But this is *not* the same as Leconte's type of the species (labelled "Western States", *i.e.* Evansville, Indiana, *vide* Leconte 1848, p. 418), which differs from all the rest of his specimens ("*pulchellus*" auct.). The original description states that the basal joints of antennae are pale, which fits the type specimen only. It is a small insect (5 mm.) with the elytra shorter and less parallel-sided than in the common



Fig. 13. Penis of: a, Badister bipustulatus Fbr. (Gotland, Sweden); b, B. unipustulatus Bon. (Öland, Sweden); c, B. pulchellus Lec. (type); d, B. neopulchellus n. sp. (Wayland, Massachusetts); e, B. obtusus Lec. (Isle Royal, Michigan; immature):

LINDROTH: NORTH AMERICAN CARABIDAE (COLEOPT.)

153

species, and with more arcuate basal margin inside the shoulders; the prothorax is not (or, in the second ex., *vide* below, very feebly) margined at base; and the antennae have three completely pale basal joints. The penis (fig. 13c) has a quite different apex which places the species near the Palaearctic *bipustulatus* (fig. 13a). In "*pulchcllus* auct." the base of prothorax is evidently margined, at least laterally, and the second and third antennal joints, and usually also the first, are more or less darkened. The true *pulchellus* Lec. must be very rare. I have seen only one additional ex., a \bigcirc from Selma, Alabama (NMW), in which the antennae are still paler, the darkening from the fourth joint being inconspicuous.

The common species lacks a name, no synonym being available, and I propose to call it **neopulchellus n. sp.** As type locality I designate West Roxbury, Massachusetts (P. G. Bolster), from where 11 ex., including the holotype σ and allotype φ , are in MCZ. Its nearest relative in North America is *obtusus* Lec. This species was described (1878, p. 594) as "unspotted" but the elytra of the single type φ show a clear, though feeble, trace of the characteristic pattern common to the other species here treated. A similarly coloured σ from Isle Royal, Michigan (coll. Fall, MCZ) and another from Aweme, Manitoba (NMW) have a penis (fig. 13e) closely resembling that of *neopulchellus* but with a slightly different apex. In the Palaearctic *unipustulatus* Bon. (fig. 13b) the penis is even more similar.

The easiest way to separate *obtusus* from *neopulchellus*, except on colour (which may be difficult in immature specimens), is by the elytral microsculpture which in *obtusus* (both sexes) is much stronger, forming evident, transverse meshes, whereas in *neopulchellus* it consists of extremely feeble transverse lines with no tendency to form meshes. This is apparently the reason why *neopulchellus* has iridescent elytra, *obtusus* not.

3. Agonum melanarium group

No carabids studied by me were found to be more utterly in confusion in North American museums than the species of Agonum (Platynus) listed in Leng's List as nr. 1538-1552, with several additional Casey species in the first supplement. Casey (1920, p. 111) named this group subg. Mclanagonum, but a European coleopterist would treat them as belonging to Agonum s. str. The difficulties, no doubt, are due partly to the unimportant and largely inconstant



Fig. 14. Penis (side view) of Agonum s. str. ("b" to "e" less magnified than the rest):

a, collaris Say (det. Darlington) Pennsylvania;

b, mutatum G. & H. (type of atratum Lec.) Lake Superior;

c, fidele Csy. (type of laeve Lec. and molestum Lec.) "Middle States";

d, carbo Lec. (original Leconte specimen) Lake Superior;

e, metallescens Lec. (original Leconte specimen) Hudson Bay Territory;

f, arizonensis Horn (det. Darlington) Arizona;

g, moerens Dej., Lec. (tenue Lec.?) Halifax, Nova Scotia;

h, propinguum G. & H. Deer Lake, Newfoundland;

i, fraterculum Lec. (det. Fall) Salmon Arm, British Columbia;

j, deceptivum Lec. (original Leconte specimen) Nova Scotia;

k, harrisi Lec. Cheticamp, Nova Scotia;

l, trigeminum n. sp. Halifax, Sackville, Nova Scotia;

m, melanarium Dej., Lec. Cheticamp, Nova Scotia;

n, frater Lec. (original Leconte specimen) California.

external characters separating these species, but in part also to the unfortunate way in which Leconte, in his synoptic table (1879), grouped them, mainly according to the position of the dorsal punctures of elytra, a character which is liable to considerable variation. Actually, because of the excellent characters in form and surface sculpture of the penis, the North American species can be more easily separated than those of the corresponding Palaearctic group of *viduum* Panz. etc., though the latter is less numerous in species. Therefore, as a starting point for interested students, drawings of the penis of the North American species known to me are here given (fig. 14).

Of the species figured, collaris Say and arizonensis Horn show little relation to the rest; *i.e.* the penis of collaris is the only one possessing chitinized parts (forming a group of teeth) in the internal sac. Casey (1920, p. 124) was therefore right in removing collaris from the "melanarium-group". The species most likely to be confused on penis characters are: *frater* Lee. with *deceptivum* Lee., and *melanarium* Dej. (& Lee.) with *trigeminum* n. sp. But within each pair of species a separation is easily made on external characters, for one species of each pair (*frater* and *melanarium* respectively) have well defined hindangles of the prothorax.

The penis figured in fig. 14-l belongs to a species which I first considered identical with either *corvus* Lec. or *hyslopi* Csy. Of the former I have seen a \heartsuit , checked with Leconte's \heartsuit type by Darlington. It is a different species, with slenderer maxillary palpi, deeper elytral

BULLETIN: MUSEUM OF COMPARATIVE ZOOLOGY

striae, etc. I made a genital slide of the \mathcal{T} type of *hyslopi* in the Casey collection (NMW) but failed to draw it. A figure of the penis later sent by Blackwelder is essentially different. The species in question thus seems to be undescribed. I propose to call it

Agonum (s. str.) trigeminum n. sp.

Deep black without any trace of metallic lustre; tarsi and bases of tibiae sometimes slightly piceous.

Closely similar (related) to *fidele* Csy. (*lacve* Lec., *molestum* Lec., *subinflatum* Csy.) with which the female may be confused. The new species is slightly larger on an average, and its prothorax is broader with more broadly reflexed sides; the posterior setigerous puncture is a little removed from the side margin, and the depressed area surrounding this puncture usually does not (as in *fidele*) cause pronounced jag of the side margin (median view!); the maxillary palpi, especially their second joint, are more slender; and the microsculpture of elytra is more open than in *fidele* and its lines show a much clearer tendency to form meshes which are only slightly transverse, this difference being most evident in the female.

From *mutatum* G. et H. (*atratum* Lec.), to which also it is closely related and which agrees in elytral microsculpture, the new species is most easily separated by larger size and by the longer and, in proportion to the prothorax, much broader elytra. Furthermore the head is more narrowed forwards in *mutatum*, in which the side margins in front of the eyes are not parallel-sided as in *trigeminum* but closely convergent.

Length 8.3–10.0 mm.

The penis (fig. 14) is quite different in all three species. In *trige*minum (fig. 14-l) it is stout, strongly arcuate, without conspicuous surface sculpture.

Holotype σ^{\uparrow} and allotype Q: Sackville, Halifax, Nova Scotia, 20.V.1951 (Lindroth, DAO). 7 paratypes: same locality, 1 σ^{\uparrow} ; Waverley, Halifax, 21.V.1951, 2 σ^{\uparrow} , 1 Q; Riversdale, Truro, Nova Scotia, 22.V.1951, 1 σ^{\uparrow} ; Lapland, Lunenburg, Nova Scotia, 1.IX.1952, 1 σ^{\uparrow} (D. C. Ferguson); Rumney, New Hampshire, 13.VI.1924, 1 σ^{\uparrow} (P. J. Darlington). The paratypes are distributed among the following museums: MCZ, NMW, Nova Scotia Museum of Science (Halifax), Zoological Institute of the University, Lund (Sweden).

Ecology. In Nova Scotia trigeminum occurs in company with fidele

LINDROTH: NORTH AMERICAN CARABIDAE (COLEOPT.) 157

at the margin of eutrophic ponds and pools with dense vegetation of Carices etc. It is very hygrophilus. In contrast, *mutatum* is a bog species, as a rule living in sphagnum.

SPECIES NEW TO AMERICA

The following 15 species, as far as I can judge, are not known previously under any name in North America. Four of them, apparently undescribed, are probably genuine, native American species. The rest are previously known from the Palaearctic region, and four of them (*Nebria brevicollis, Bembidion properans* and *rupestre, Pterostichus strenuus*) no doubt are recent introductions. Three of the new species will be described in my Newfoundland paper; the fourth (*Agonum darlingtoni*), is briefly diagnosed below and will be more fully described in connection with a revision of the subg. *Europhilus*.

Diachila polita Fald. 1835. Distinguished from arctica Gyll. sbsp. amoena Fald. (subpolaris Lec.) by the lack of a carina inside the hind angles of prothorax. The wings are constantly vestigial. Alaska (NMW! MCZ!), North West Territory (DAO!).

Blethisa eschscholtzi Zoubk. 1829. Large as quadricollis Hald., with a very characteristic prothorax, almost rectangular, with parallel sides in the posterior half, strictly rectangular hind angles, and strong, straight basal carinae, converging forward. Texas (Sanderson, $1 \ Q$, NMW).

Notiophilus intermedius n. sp. This species, to a certain degree intermediate between *simulator* Fall and *directus* Csy. (*lanei* Hatch), but well characterized by its penis, will be described in my Newfound-land paper. Known also from Labrador, Manitoba and Alaska.

Nebria brevicollis Fbr. 1792. This European introduction, known only from 1 ex. from the French island Miquelon (S. of Newfoundland), is at once distinguished from all indigenous North American species by the hairy upper surface of the tarsi, and belongs to the subg. *Helobia*.

Bembidion (Metallina) properans Steph. 1829. Differs from lampros Hbst. by the straight, not laterally dilated frontal grooves (fig. 2b) and by the quite different penis (figs. 2d, 9b). An introduced species, known from Nova Scotia only.

B. (Plataphus) lenense Popp. 1906. Similar to rusticum Csy. but averaging larger, with more parallel-sided elytra and more or less darkened legs. The penis (fig. 11e) is quite different and shows a

close relationship to the Palaearctic *prasinum* Dft. There is complete agreement, including the penis, with Poppius' type specimen from River Lena, Siberia (UMH). Individuals with rufescent elytra are not rare. Alaska, Yukon Territory, British Columbia, Labrador, Newfoundland.

B. (Pl.) hyperboraeorum Munst. 1923. Similar to rustieum Csy. and lenense Popp., but with completely dark antennae and legs. Prothorax almost rectangular, with very feebly sinuate sides (fig. 5a). Differing from the similarly coloured *planiuseulum* Mnh. by the broadly rounded tip of the elytra. Penis, fig. 11c. Alaska, North West Territory.

B. (Blepharoplataphus) hasti Sahlb. 1826. Distinguished from all true Plataphus by the fringe of minute hairs on each of the last ventral segments of the abdomen (fig. 4a) and therefore belonging to subg. Blepharoplataphus, of which I have seen no other representative from North America (cf. Netolitzky 1942–43, p. 80). Prothorax, fig. 5b. British Columbia, North West Territory, Manitoba, Quebec, Labrador.

B. (Peryphus) daurieum Mtsch. 1844. Externally similar to grapei Gyll. (*picipes* Kby., *nitens* Lec.) but easily distinguished by the oviform last antennal joints (fig. 7), the (especially in the φ) networklike microsculpture at the tip of elytra, and the penis (fig. 12d). Alaska, North West Territory, Manitoba.

B. (Per.) rupestre L. 1767. Easily distinguished from all other fourspotted Peryphus (ustulatum L., petrosum Gebl., etc.) by the microsculpture of the prothorax extending over its whole disc. The femora are always darkened. Penis, fig. 8b. Quebec, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Newfoundland. Introduced.

B. (Eupetedromus) immaturum n. sp. Narrower than *incrematum* Lec., very pale, with apical part of penis much shorter. To be more fully described in my Newfoundland paper. New Hampshire, Quebec, Nova Scotia, Newfoundland.

B. (Semicampa) browni n. sp. A small, very dark species which will be described in my Newfoundland paper. Cooks Harbour, Newfoundland; Churchill, Manitoba.

Pterostichus (Argutor) strenuus Panz. 1797. Closely related to patruclis Dej. and the other species united by Casey (1918, p. 378) as genus *Micromascus*, and like *femoralis* Kby. with coarsely punctured prosternum, but strenuus is smaller (6–7.2 mm.) with the prothorax with pronouncedly sinuate sides before the hind angles which are sharp and right, and with a more extensively punctured base. Newfoundland only. Introduced.

LINDROTH: NORTH AMERICAN CARABIDAE (COLEOPT.) 159

Agonum (Europhilus) darlingtoni n. sp. This small species, at once recognized by the densely transverse microsculpture of the elytra, will be described in a special paper. Connecticut, Massachusetts, Nova Scotia.

Harpalus fuliginosus Dft. 1812. Very characteristic in appearance, the prothorax being an exact copy of that of *Xestonotus lugubris* Dej., but the antennae being quite pale. Probably transamerican, from Newfoundland and Labrador to Alaska.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

(The original descriptions of species cited in Leng are not listed here.)

ANDREWES, H. E. 1925. A revision of the Oriental species of the genus Tachys. Ann. Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat. Genoa, 51: 327–502, pls. III–IV.

-. 1939. The generic names of the British Carabidae, . . . The Generic Names of British Insects (R. Ent. Soc. London), part 6: 153-192.

- BÄNNINGER, M. 1925. Neunter Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Carabinae: die Nebriini. Ent. Mitteilungen (Berlin-Dahlem), 14: 180–195, 256–281, 329–343.
- BLACKWELDER, R. E. 1950. The Casey Room: memorial to a coleopterist. Coleopt. Bull. (Washington, D. C.), 4: 65–80.
- BROWN, W. J. 1949. On the American species of Lyperopherus Mots. (Coleoptera: Carabidae). Canadian Ent. (Ottawa), **81**: 231–232.
- —. 1950. The extralimital distribution of some species of Coleoptera. *Ibid.*, 82: 197–205.
- BUCHANAN, L. L. 1924. On the systematic position of the Carabid, Stereocerus haematopus Dej. (similis Kby.) (Coleoptera). Ibid., 56: 40-42.
 - —. 1935. Thomas Lincoln Casey and the Casey Collection of Coleoptera. Smiths. Mise. Coll. (Washington, D. C.) 94, No. 3: IV+15 pp., 1 pl.
- CASEY, TH. L. 1914, 1918, 1920, 1924. Memoirs on the Coleoptera (Lancaster, Pa.), 5, 8, 9, & 10 respectively.
- CSIKI, E. 1927–1933. Carabidae. Junk & Schenkling Coleopterorum Catalogus (Berlin), parts 86, 91, 92, 97, 98, 104, 112, 115, 121, 124, 126, & 127.
- DARLINGTON, P. J., Jr. 1934. The subspecies of *Chlaenius leucoscelis* Chev. (Coleop., Carabidae), . . . Pan-Pacific Ent. (San Francisco). 10: 115–118.
 —. 1938. The American Patrobini (Coleoptera, Carabidae). Ent. Americana (Brooklyn, N. Y.), 18 (n. s.): 135–183.
- DEJEAN, P. F. M. A. 1837. Catalogue des Coléoptères de la collection de M. le Comte Dejean (Paris), 3rd. ed.: XIV+503 pp.

- FALL, H. C. 1906. A review of the North American species of Notiophilus. Psyche (Boston), 13: 79–92.
 - —. 1910. Miscellaneous notes and descriptions of North American Coleoptera. Trans. American Ent. Soc. (Philadelphia), 36: 89–197.
- ——. 1926. A list of the Coleoptera taken in Alaska and adjacent parts of the Yukon Territory in the summer of 1924. Pan-Pacific Ent. (San Francisco), 2: 127–208.
- FASSATI, M. 1950. Concerning *Bembidion ustulatum* L. in North America. Coleopt. Bull. (Washington, D. C.), 4: 38–43.
- HATCH, M. H. 1933a. The species of Miscodera (Coleoptera-Carabidae). Pan-Pacific Ent. (San Francisco), 9: 7–8.
 - ----. 1933b. Notes on Carabidae. Ibid., 9: 117-121.
 - —. 1938. Report on the Coleoptera collected by Dr. Victor B. Scheffer on the Aleutian Islands in 1937. *Ibid.*, 14: 145–149.
 - . 1949. Studies on the Coleoptera of the Pacific Northwest I. Ibid., 25: 113-118.
 - —. 1950. Studies on the Coleoptera of the Pacific Northwest II: Carabidae: Bembidiini. *Ibid.*, **26**: 97–106.
- HAYWARD, R. 1897. On the species of *Bembidium* of America north of Mexico. Trans. American Ent. Soc. (Philadelphia), 24: 32–158.
- —. 1900. A study of the species of Tachys of Boreal America. *Ibid.*, 26: 191–233, pl. VI.
- HORN, G. H. 1875. Synonymical notes and descriptions of new species of North American Colcoptera. *Ibid.*, 5: 126–156.
- JEANNEL, R. 1941–1949. Coléoptères Carabiques. Parts 1, 2, & suppl. Faune de France (Paris), Nos. 39, 40, & 51.
- LECONTE, J. L. 1848. A descriptive catalogue of the Geodephagous Coleoptera... Ann. Lyc. Nat. Hist. (New York), 4: 173–474 (sic, but later pages erroneously numbered).
- ——. 1878. Descriptions of new species [of Coleoptera of Michigan]. Proc. American Phil. Soc. (Philadelphia), 17: 593–626.
- —. 1879. Synopsis of the North American species of *Platynnus* Bon. Bull. Brooklyn Ent. Soc. (Brooklyn, N. Y.), 2: 43–58.
- —. 1880. Short studies of North American Coleoptera. Trans. American Ent. Soc. (Philadelphia), 8: 163–218.
- LEECH, H. B. 1935. British Columbian records of Carabidae and Hydrophilidae. Pan-Pacific Ent. (San Francisco), 11: 120–124.
- LINDROTH, C. H. 1939-40. Zur Systematik fennoskandischer Carabiden.
 4-12. Bembidion-Studien. Notulae Ent. (Helsingfors), 19: 63-99, 8 pls.
 —. 1943. Zur Systematik fennoskandischer Carabiden. 13-33. Ent.
 - Tidskr. (Stockholm), 63: 1-68.
 - —. 1944. Bembidion tinctum Zett. (nigripes Mannh.) und dentellum Thunb. Ibid., 64: 210–213.

- LUTSHNIK, V. 1929. Analecta Carabidologica. Casopis Cesk. Spol. Ent. (Acta Soc. Ent. Cechoslovakia) (Prague), 26: 5–7.
- NETOLITZKY, FR. 1931. Kritisches zum Katalog der Harpalinae von Csiki. Deutsche Ent. Zeitschr. (Berlin), Jahrg. 1931: 153–167.
 - —. 1935. Die Bembidion-Arten der Sammlung Motschulsky im Museum der Universität zu Moskau. Vereinsschr. Ges. Luxemburger Naturfreunde (Bull. Soc. Nat. Luxembourgeois), 29 (n. s.): 18–37.
- 1942–1943. Bestimmungstabelle der Bembidion-Arten des paläarktischen Gebietes. Koleopt. Rundschau (Vienna), 28: 29–124;
 29: 1–70.
- NOTMAN, H. 1920. Coleoptera collected at Windsor, Broome Co., N. Y., ... Journ. New York Ent. Soc. (New York), 23: 178–194.
- POPPIUS, B. 1906. Zur Kenntnis der Pterostichen-Untergattung Cryobius Chaud. Acta Soc. Fauna et Flora Fennica (Helsingfors), 28, No. 5: 1–280, map.